

MARK R. WARNER, VIRGINIA, CHAIRMAN  
MARCO RUBIO, FLORIDA, VICE CHAIRMAN

DIANNE FEINSTEIN, CALIFORNIA  
RON WYDEN, OREGON  
MARTIN HEINRICH, NEW MEXICO  
ANGUS S. KING, Jr., MAINE  
MICHAEL F. BENNET, COLORADO  
ROBERT P. CASEY, Jr., PENNSYLVANIA  
KIRSTEN GILLIBRAND, NEW YORK

RICHARD BURR, NORTH CAROLINA  
JAMES E. RISCH, IDAHO  
SUSAN M. COLLINS, MAINE  
ROY BLUNT, MISSOURI  
TOM COTTON, ARKANSAS  
JOHN CORNYN, TEXAS  
BEN SASSE, NEBRASKA

## United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE  
WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6475

CHARLES SCHUMER, NEW YORK, EX OFFICIO  
MITCH MCCONNELL, KENTUCKY, EX OFFICIO  
JACK REED, RHODE ISLAND, EX OFFICIO  
JAMES M. INHOFE, OKLAHOMA, EX OFFICIO

MICHAEL CASEY, STAFF DIRECTOR  
BRIAN W. WALSH, MINORITY STAFF DIRECTOR  
KELSEY S. BAILEY, CHIEF CLERK

July 5, 2022

The Honorable Lina Khan  
Chairwoman  
Federal Trade Commission  
600 Pennsylvania Ave NW  
Washington, DC 20580

Dear Chairwoman Khan:

We write in response to public reports that individuals in the People's Republic of China (PRC) have been accessing data on U.S. users, in contravention of several public representations, including sworn testimony in October 2021.<sup>1</sup> In an interview with the online publication Cyberscoop, the Global Chief Security Officer for TikTok's parent company, ByteDance, made a number of public representations on the data security practices of TikTok, including unequivocal claims that the data of American users is not accessible to the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) and the government of the PRC.<sup>2</sup> As you know, TikTok's privacy practices are already subject to a consent decree with the Federal Trade Commission, based on its improper collection and processing of personal information from children. In light of this new report, we ask that your agency immediately initiate a Section 5 investigation on the basis of apparent deception by TikTok, and coordinate this work with any national security or counter-intelligence investigation that may be initiated by the U.S. Department of Justice.

Additionally, these recent reports suggest that TikTok has also misrepresented its corporate governance practices, including to Congressional committees such as ours. In October 2021, TikTok's head of public policy, Michael Beckerman, testified that TikTok has "no affiliation" with another ByteDance subsidiary, Beijing-based ByteDance Technology, of which the CCP owns a partial stake.<sup>3</sup> Meanwhile, as recently as March of this year, TikTok officials reiterated to our Committee representations they have previously made that all corporate governance decisions are wholly firewalled from their PRC-based parent, ByteDance. Yet

---

<sup>1</sup> Emily Baker-White, "Leaked Audio from 80 Internal TikTok Meetings Shows that US User Data Has Been Repeatedly Accessed from China," BuzzFeed News (June 17, 2022), available at <https://www.buzzfeednews.com/article/emilybakerwhite/tiktok-tapes-us-user-data-china-bytedance-access>

<sup>2</sup> Jeff Stone, "TikTok's Security Boss Makes His Case. Carefully," Cyberscoop (August 27, 2020), available at <https://www.cyberscoop.com/tiktok-lawsuit-security-questions-roland-cloutier/>

<sup>3</sup> Diane Bartz and Sheila Dang, "TikTok Tells U.S. Lawmakers It Does Not Give Information to China's Government," Reuters (October 26, 2021), available at <https://www.reuters.com/technology/tiktok-tells-us-lawmakers-it-does-not-give-information-chinas-government-2021-10-26/>

according to a recent report from BuzzFeed News, TikTok’s engineering teams ultimately report to ByteDance leadership in the PRC.

According to this same report, TikTok’s Trust and Safety department was aware of these improper access practices and governance irregularities, which – according to internal recordings of TikTok deliberations – offered PRC-based employees unfettered access to user information, including birthdates, phone numbers, and device identification information. Recent updates to TikTok’s privacy policy<sup>4</sup>, which indicate that TikTok may be collecting biometric data such as faceprints and voiceprints (i.e. individually-identifiable image and audio data, respectively), heighten the concern that data of U.S. users may be vulnerable to extrajudicial access by security services controlled by the CCP.

A series of national security laws imposed by the CCP, including the 2017 National Intelligence Law and the 2014 Counter-Espionage Law provide extensive and extra-judicial access opportunities for CCP-controlled security services. Under these authorities, the CCP may compel access, regardless of where data is ultimately stored. While TikTok has suggested that migrating to U.S.-based storage from a U.S. cloud service provider alleviates any risk of unauthorized access, these latest revelations raise concerns about the reliability of TikTok representations: since TikTok will ultimately control all access to the cloud-hosted systems, the risk of access to that data by PRC-based engineers (or CCP security services) remains significant in light of the corporate governance irregularities revealed by BuzzFeed News. Moreover, as the recent report makes clear, the majority of TikTok data – including content posted by users as well as their unique IDs– will remain freely accessible to PRC-based ByteDance employees.

In light of repeated misrepresentations by TikTok concerning its data security, data processing, and corporate governance practices, we urge you to act promptly on this matter.

Sincerely,



Mark R. Warner  
Chairman



Marco Rubio  
Vice Chairman

---

<sup>4</sup> Sarah Perez, “TikTok Just Gave Itself Permission to Collect Biometric Data on US Users, Including ‘Faceprints and VoicePrints,’” TechCrunch (June 3, 2021), available at <https://techcrunch.com/2021/06/03/tiktok-just-gave-itself-permission-to-collect-biometric-data-on-u-s-users-including-faceprints-and-voiceprints/>